

Novel Insights into Oscillation of Impulsive Fractional Differential Equations with Caputo Derivative

Rabbiya Fatima,¹ Azmat Ullah Khan Niazi,^{1, a)} and Hassan Raza²

¹⁾Department of Mathematics and Statistics, The University of Lahore, Sargodha 40100, Pakistan

²⁾Department of Mathematics, School of Science, Mathematics and Technology, Wenzhou-Kean University, Wenzhou, P.R. China

ABSTRACT: In this paper, we explore the oscillation of impulsive Caputo fractional differential equations. Conditions for both asymptotic and oscillatory outcomes are established through the application of the inequality principle and Bihari Lemma. An example is given to explain the results of all problems. This is the first time to study the oscillation of impulsive fractional differential equation with Caputo Derivative.

Received: 26 November 2024

Accepted: 31 January 2025

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.71107/977nmr55>

I. INTRODUCTION

Fractional differential equations are generalizations of classical differential equations of integer order and can find applications in many fields of science and engineering. It has different research areas on mechanical and electrical properties of real materials, as well as in rheological theory and other physical problems, see¹⁻³. For articles on the oscillation of fractional differential equations, readers can refer to literatures⁴⁻¹⁰.

Fractional differential equation has been the focus of many studies due to their frequent appearance in many applications in physics, biology, control theory, control processing, engineering and has attracted more and more scholars. The oscillation of impulsive fractional differential equation as a new research field and the new interesting results have already been obtained. Due to the intensive development about the theory of impulsive differential equations and fractional calculus and their widely applications in diverse fields, impulsive fractional differential equations have become a new hot topic. Very recently, more and more researchers show great interest in the field of impulsive problems for fractional differential equations, see^{7,11-13}.

In 2016, Jessada Tariboon and Sotiris K. Ntouyas¹⁴ investigated oscillation results for the solutions of impulsive fractional differential equation with conformable derivative of the form,

$$\begin{cases} t_k D^\alpha (p(t) [t_k D^\alpha x(t) + r(t)x(t)]) + q(t)x(t) = 0, & t > t_0, \quad t \neq t_k, \quad \alpha \in (1, 2), \\ x(t_k^+) = a_k x(t_k^-), t_k D^\alpha x(t_k^+) = b_k t_{k-1} D^\alpha x(t_k^-), & k = 1, 2, \dots \end{cases}$$

They obtained some new oscillatory results by using the equivalence transformation and the associated Riccati techniques.

The definition of conformable derivative is only related to the limit form and is similar to form of integer derivative. Therefore, the methods for oscillation of integer differential equation can be applied to conformable derivative only through a simple transformation. There are still some gaps between conformable derivative and classical

^{a)}Electronic mail: azmatullah.khan@math.uol.edu.pk

fractional derivative .
 In 2017, A.Raheem, Md.Maqbul¹⁵ considered the oscil-

latory behavior of solutions on the differential equation with Riemann-Liouville fractional derivative, for $t \neq t_j$

$$D_{+,t}^\beta u(x,t) + a(t)D_{+,t}^{\beta-1}u(x,t) = b(t)\Delta u(x,t) + \sum_{k=1}^m c_k(t)\Delta u(x,t - \tau_k) - F(x,t)$$

under the impulsive condition,

$$D_{+,t}^{\beta-1}u(x,t_j^+) - D_{+,t}^{\beta-1}u(x,t_j^-) = \sigma(x,t_j)D_{+,T}^{\beta-1}u(x,t_j), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots \quad (x,t) \in \Omega \cdot \mathbb{R}_+$$

With two kind of boundary condition

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial N} + f(x,t)u(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \cdot \mathbb{R}_+, \quad t \neq t_j$$

and

$$u(x,t) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \partial\Omega \cdot \mathbb{R}_+, \quad t \neq t_j$$

where $a, b, c_k \in PC[\mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+]$, forcing term $F \in PC[\bar{\Omega} \cdot \mathbb{R}_+, \mathbb{R}_+]$, $f \in PC[\partial\Omega, \mathbb{R}^+]$, and PC denotes the class of functions which are piecewise continuous functions in t with discontinuities of first kind only at $t = t_j$, $j=1,2,\dots$ and left continuous at $t = t_j$, $\beta \in (1, 2)$ is a constant, Δ is the Laplacian operator in \mathbb{R}^n , Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbb{R}^n with a smooth boundary $\partial\Omega$, $\Omega = \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$,

N is the unit out normal vector to $\partial\Omega$.

In 2019, Mouffak Benchohra, Samira Hamani and Yong Zhou [3] dealt with the existence of oscillatory and non oscillatory solutions for the following class of initial value problems for impulsive fractional differential with Caputo-Hadamard derivative inclusion,

$$\begin{cases} H_c D_{t_k}^\alpha y(t) \in F(t, y(t)), t \in J = (t_k, t_k + 1), \\ y(t_k^+) = I_k(y(t_k^-)), k = 1, 2, \dots \\ y(1) = y_* \end{cases}$$

By using the concept of upper and lower solutions and the fixed point, theorem, the authors, obtained the existence theorems of oscillatory and non-oscillatory solutions of the above equation.

Motivated by the above papers, we consider the oscillatory behavior of solutions of following fractional impulsive differential equation

$$\begin{cases} {}^c D_a^\beta x(t) = \lambda e(t) + f(t, x(t)) + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t)g_i(x(t - \tau_i)) \\ t \in [o, T] \\ \Delta x(t_k) = y_k, \Delta x'(t_k) = \bar{y}_k, k = 1, 2, \dots \\ x(t) = \phi(t), x'(t) = \bar{\phi}(t), t \in [v, o] \\ \alpha\beta < 1 \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

II. MAIN RESULTS

We are now in a position to state and prove our main results.

Theorem 1 Suppose that $1 < \alpha < 2$, $p > 1$, $\gamma >$

0, $p(\alpha - 2) + 1 > 0$, $p(\gamma - 1) + 1 > 0$, $q = \frac{p}{p-1}$, and the function $e(t) : J \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous such that

$$\int_a^\infty s^{\theta q/p} h^q(s) ds < \infty, \tag{4}$$

$$\frac{1}{t} \int_a^t (t-s)^{\alpha-1} |e(s)| ds \text{ is bounded for all } t \geq a \tag{2}$$

where $\theta := p(\alpha + \gamma - 3) + 1 \leq 0$.
(iii)

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{d\eta}{g^q(\eta)} \rightarrow \infty. \tag{5}$$

and the function $f(t, x)$ satisfies the following conditions.

The impulsive points meet the following condition.

- (i) $f(t, x)$ is continuous in $D = (t, x) : t \in J, x \in \mathbb{R}$.
- (ii) There are continuous nonnegative functions $g, h : \mathbb{R}^+ := [a, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$, g is nondecreasing and let $0 < \gamma \leq 3 - \alpha - 1/p$ such that

(iv) There is a constant M such that

$$\left| \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i \right| < M, \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right| < M, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \tag{6}$$

If $x(t)$ is a solution of (1), then

$$|f(t, x)| \leq t^{\gamma-1} h(t) g\left(\frac{|x|}{t}\right), \quad t > a, \quad (t, x) \in D, \tag{3}$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x(t)|}{t} < \infty \tag{7}$$

and

Proof. We obtain from (1) that

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)| &\leq \phi(t) + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} |e(s)| ds + \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i \right| t + \left| \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \\ &|f(s, x(s))| ds + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(s) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau_i)) ds \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]. \end{aligned}$$

By applying condition (2) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} |x(t)| &\leq \phi(t) + \frac{\lambda}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} |e(s)| ds + \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i \right| t + \left| \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right| + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} \\ &s^{\gamma-1} h(s) g\left(\frac{|x(s)|}{s}\right) ds + \\ &\frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(s) \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau_i)) ds \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \end{aligned}$$

From (1), we obtain $\frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} |e(s)| ds \leq c$ for all $t \geq a$, where d is a constant.

Let $C(k) = \phi(t) + \left| \sum_{i=1}^k \bar{y}_i \right| + \left| \sum_{i=1}^k y_i \right| + \frac{\lambda c}{\Gamma(\beta)} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(s)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 |x(t)| &\leq C(k)t + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} s^{\gamma-1} h(s) g\left(\frac{|x(s)|}{s}\right) ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau i)) ds \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \\
 &\leq C(k)t + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} t \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-2} s^{\gamma-1} h(s) g\left(\frac{|x(s)|}{s}\right) ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau i)) ds \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}].
 \end{aligned}$$

This yields the inequality.

$$\frac{|x(t)|}{t} \leq C(k) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-2} s^{\gamma-1} h(s) g\left(\frac{|x(s)|}{s}\right) ds + \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau i)) ds \qquad (8)$$

If we denote that $z(t)$ is the right side of the inequality (7). We obtain the inequality

$$g\left(\frac{|x(t)|}{t}\right) \leq g(z(t, k)), \qquad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$$

$$\frac{|x(t)|}{t} \leq z(t, k), \qquad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \qquad (9)$$

$$g\left(\frac{|x(t)|}{t}\right) \leq g(z(t, k)), \qquad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$$

Since the function g is non decreasing, the inequality (8) yields

and from a definition $z(t, k)$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 z(t, k) &\leq 1 + C(k) + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} s^{\gamma-1} h(s) g(z(s, k)) ds + \\
 &\qquad\qquad\qquad \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau i)) ds \qquad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \qquad (10)
 \end{aligned}$$

Where $0 < \alpha = \beta - 1 < 1$.

Applying Holders inequality and Lemma we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} s^{\gamma-1} h(s)g(z(s,k))ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau i))ds \\
 \leq & \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{p(\beta-1)} s^{p(\gamma-1)} ds \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^t h^q(s)g^q(z(s,k))ds \right)^{1/q} + \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{p(\beta-1)} ds \right)^{1/p} \\
 & \left(\int_0^t g_i^q(x(s-\tau i))ds \right)^{1/q} \\
 \leq & \left(\int_0^t (t-s)^{p(\beta-1)} s^{p(\gamma-1)} ds \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^t h^q(s)g^q(z(s,k))ds \right)^{1/q} \\
 \leq & (Bt^\theta)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^t h^q(s)g^q(z(s,k))ds \right)^{1/q} + (B't^\theta)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^t g_i^q(x(s-\tau i))ds \right)^{1/q} \\
 & , t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]
 \end{aligned}$$

where $B := B(p(\gamma - 1) + 1 < p(\beta - 1) + 1)$, $\theta = t > s \geq 0$, we have $p(\alpha + \gamma - 3) + 1 \leq 0$. Using the fact that $\theta \leq 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} s^{\gamma-1} h(s)g(z(s,k))ds + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} g_i(x(s-\tau i))ds \\
 \leq & B^{1/p} \left(\int_0^t s^{\theta q/p} h^q(s)g^q(z(s,k))ds \right)^{1/q} (B't^\theta)^{1/p} \left(\int_0^t g_i^q(x(s-\tau i))ds \right)^{1/q} , t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}] \tag{11}
 \end{aligned}$$

Using (10) and the elementary inequality

$$(x + y)^q \leq 2^{q-1}(x^q + y^q), \quad x, y \geq 0, \quad q > 1$$

For $t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}]$, we obtain from 9 that

$$\begin{aligned}
 z^q(t, k) \leq & 2^{q-1}(1 + C(k))^q + \left(B^{1/p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \right)^q \int_0^t s^{\theta q/p} h^q(s)g^q(z(s,k))ds \\
 & + \left(\frac{1}{t} B'^{1/p} \right)^q \int_0^t g_i^{q\theta/p}(x(s-\tau i))ds
 \end{aligned}$$

If we denote

$$p_1(k) = 2^{q-1}[(1 + C(k))^q], \quad Q_1 = 2^{q-1} \left(\left(B^{1/p} \frac{1}{\Gamma(\beta)} \right)^q, R_1 = \left(\frac{1}{t} B'^{1/p} \right)^q \right),$$

then

$$z^q(t, k) \leq p_1(k) + Q_1 \int_0^t s^{\theta q/p} h^q(s) g^q(z(s, k)) ds + R_1 \int_0^t g_i^{q\theta/p}(x(s - \tau_i)) ds, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}].$$

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \omega(\eta) &= g^q(\eta) \\ G(\xi) &= \int_{z_k}^{\xi} \frac{d\eta}{\omega(\eta)}, \quad z_k = z(t_k^+, k) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Since $G(z(t, k)) = \int_{z_k}^{z(t, k)} \frac{d\eta}{g^q(\eta)}$, condition (iii) implies that $\lim_{z(t, k) \rightarrow \infty} G(z(t, k)) = \infty$, then by the Bihari Lemma¹⁶, we get

$$z^q(t, k) \leq K(k) := G^{-1} \left(G(p_1(k)) + Q_1 \int_0^t s^{\theta q/p} h^q(s) ds + R_1 \int_0^t g_i^{q\theta/p}(x(s - \tau_i)) ds, \quad t \in (t_k, t_{k+1}], \quad k = 1, 2, \dots \right)$$

Because of condition (iv) and boundedness of $P_1(k)$. Hence from (ii) we conclude $K(k)$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$ is bounded. Then

$$z^q(t, k) \leq K = \sup_{k \geq 1} K(k), \quad t > t_1, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots$$

We obtain that $z(t, k) \leq K^{1/q}$, and from (8), we have

$$\frac{|x(t)|}{t} \leq K^{1/q}, \quad t \geq t_1.$$

We conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x(t)|}{t} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

Theorem 2 Let the constants β, p, q, γ and θ be defined as is in Theorem 2.1, conditions (1)-(5) hold. If for any constant $\bar{d} \in (MT(\beta) + \phi_*\Gamma(\beta), 1 + MT(\beta) + \phi_*\Gamma(\beta))$,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \inf \left[\bar{d}t + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} e(s) ds \right] = -\infty \tag{13}$$

or

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \sup \left[\bar{d}t + \int_0^t (t-s)^{\beta-1} e(s) ds \right] = \infty \tag{14}$$

then (1) is oscillatory.

III. CONCLUSION

In the final analysis, because of significant measure to their vital functions in describing an assortment of biological, physiological, and technological occurrences, the

investigation of differential equations with fractions and regressive parabolic problems has grown dramatically. Mastering complex structures, especially the rigidity and electrical features of substance and the exploration of rheological actions, have been found to be particularly helpful for employing fractional differential equations, which extends the conventional view of mathematical equations to non-integer levels. These mathematical formulas are growing becoming increasingly significant in categories like physics, biology, control theory, and architecture since they could symbolize processes containing memory and inherited features.

DECLARATION OF COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was conducted at the University of Lahore. The authors extend their heartfelt gratitude to the First International Conference on Sciences for Future Trends, organized at the Sargodha Campus of the University of Lahore, for their support and for considering our article for publication in this esteemed journal.

REFERENCES

- ¹A. Hermosillo-Arteaga, M. P. Romo, and M. T. Roberto, "Response spectra generation using a fractional differential model," *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* **115**, 719–729 (2018).
- ²Y. Jiang, B. Xia, X. Zhao, *et al.*, "Data-based fractional differential models for non-linear dynamic modeling of a lithium-ion battery," *Energy* **135**, 171–181 (2017).
- ³A. Ortega, J. J. Rosales, J. M. Cruz-Duarte, *et al.*, "Fractional model of the dielectric dispersion," *Optik-International Journal for Light and Electron Optics* **180**, 754–759 (2019).
- ⁴L. Feng and S. Sun, "Oscillation theorems for three class of conformable fractional differential equations," *Advances in Difference Equations* **2019**, 1–30 (2019).
- ⁵Y. Wang, Z. Han, and S. Sun, "Comment on "on the oscillation of fractional-order delay differential equations with constant coefficients"," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **26**, 195–200 (2015).
- ⁶Y. Wang, Z. Han, P. Zhao, *et al.*, "Oscillation theorems for fractional neutral differential equations," *Hacetatepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics* **44**, 1477–1488 (2015).
- ⁷L. Xu, J. Li, and S. Ge, "Impulsive stabilization of fractional differential systems," *ISA Transactions* **70**, 12–131 (2017).
- ⁸Y. Zhou, B. Ahmad, and A. Alsaedi, "Existence of non oscillatory solutions for fractional neutral differential equations," *Applied Mathematics Letters* **72**, 70–74 (2017).
- ⁹Y. Zhou, B. Ahmad, F. Chen, *et al.*, "Oscillation for fractional partial differential equations," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society* **42**, 449–465 (2019).
- ¹⁰Y. Zhou, B. Ahmad, and A. Alsaedi, "Existence of non oscillatory solutions for fractional functional differential equations," *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society* **42**, 751–766 (2019).
- ¹¹T. Guo, "Controllability and observability of impulsive fractional linear time-invariant system," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **64**, 3171–3182 (2012).
- ¹²I. Stamova, "Global stability of impulsive fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **237**, 605–612 (2014).
- ¹³J. Wang, X. Li, and W. Wei, "On the natural solution of an impulsive fractional differential equation of order $q \in (1, 2)$," *Communications in Nonlinear Science and Numerical Simulation* **17**, 4384–4394 (2012).
- ¹⁴S. R. Grace, R. P. Agarwal, J. Y. Wong, and A. Zafer, "On the oscillation of fractional differential equations," *Fractional Calculus and Applied Analysis* **15**, 222–231 (2012).
- ¹⁵A. Raheem and M. Maqbul, "Oscillation criteria for impulsive partial fractional differential equations," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **73**, 1781–1788 (2017).
- ¹⁶I. Bihari, "Researches of the boundedness and stability of the solutions of non-linear differential equations," *Acta Mathematica Hungarica* **8**, 261–278 (1957).
- ¹⁷M. Benchohra, S. Hamani, and Y. Zhou, "Oscillation and nonoscillation for caputo-hadamard impulsive fractional differential inclusions," *Advances in Difference Equations* **2019**, 1–15 (2019).
- ¹⁸S. R. Grace, "On the oscillatory behaviour of solutions of non-linear fractional differential equations," *Applied Mathematics and Computation* **266**, 259–266 (2015).
- ¹⁹Q. Ma, J. Pecaric, and J. Zhang, "Integral inequalities of systems and the estimate for solutions of certain nonlinear two-dimensional fractional differential systems," *Computers and Mathematics with Applications* **61**, 3258–3267 (2011).